# HYDROLOGICAL ASPECTS OF DROUGHT UP TO 1988-89 - A CASE STUDY IN KARNATAKA



NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF HYDROLOGY JAL VIGYAN BHAWAN ROORKEE-247667 (U.P.) 1990-91

#### PREFACE

A most important factor in understanding hydrological droughts, is a supply and demand phenomenon. To a hydrologist drought means below average availability of flow in streams and below average storages in reservoirs, lakes, tanks, ground water aquifers and soil moisture in soil column. The various hydrological variables which can be used to study hydrological aspects of drought include rainfall, groundwater levels, surface water storages and soil moisture.

The problem of drought in the country has been recurrent in nature. In late 80's the country has faced drought for three years in succession. Reliable estimates indicate that the drought of year 1987 is ranked second in the century, the first one being in year 1918. It has been estimated by Central Water Commission that about 1/3rd of the geographical area of the country (107 M ha) spread over 99 districts, are drought prone.

The National Institute of Hydrology initiated drought in the year 1986 with the major objectives to lay emphasis on hydrological aspects of drought and to develop suitable drought indices along with evolving short and long term drought management strategies. In this venture the institute has already carried out studies on various aspects of drought. In order to study the gravity of problem studies have been taken up using the field data to evaluate impacts of drought. In this pursuit the institute has chosen six states namely, Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra and Rajasthan. The present report covers the study of six districts of state Karnataka. The districts are Bijapur, Belgaum, Gulburga, Raichur, Bellary and Dharwar. The study includes various kinds of analysis of rainfall data and ground water level data for assessing drought impacts. Based on the analysis, inferences, highlighting the hydrological aspects of the droughts, have been drawn up.

The study team included of group of scientists & scientific staff are Shri Avinash Agarwal, Scientist 'C', Shri Sudhir Kumar Goyal, Scientist 'B', Shri R P Pandey, Scientist 'B', and the scientific staff contributed to the study is Shri Yatveer Singh, Research Assistant, under the guidance of Dr. G C Mishra, Scientist 'F'. The manuscript has been typed by Smt. Mary, Steno.

Satish Chandra )
Director

#### ABSTRACT

The occurrence of drought in India is not a recent phenomenon. In recent years the country faced three drought years in succession namely 1985, 1986 & 1987. It has been reported intensity wise the drought of year 1987 ranks second in the 20th century, the first one being in the year 1918. Statistics on areal coverage indicate that out of the country, total geographical area of 328 m.ha., 107 m.ha. or about one third of the area and 29 percent of the population are affected by drought.

In view of severity of drought problem and leas understanding the hydrological aspects associated with the droughts, the National Institute of Hydrology started studies in the year 1986 to better understand the drought impacts from hydrology point of view. In this venture the institute started collection, from field organizations, of the data concerning rainfall and groundwater in selected areas, covering the period 1951 to 1989. Six states of Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh & Rajasthan were selected for the study. This report covers the analysis of rainfall, groundwater, & reservoir level did in respect of six selected districts Bijapur, Belgaum. Gulburga, Raichur, Bellary & Dharwar of state Karnataka for the assessment of drought impacts.

### CONTENTS

|     |  | TAGE     |
|-----|--|----------|
|     | PREFACE                                    |          |
|     | ABSTRACT                                   | ii       |
|     | LIST OF FIGURES                            | iii      |
|     | LIST OF TABLES                             | iv       |
|     | LIST OF APPENDICES                         | 1        |
| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION                               | •        |
| 2.0 | DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA                  | 2        |
|     | 2.1 General                                | 2 2      |
|     | 2.2 Population-Man & Cattle                | 2        |
|     | 2.3 Land Use & Vegetal Cover               | 6        |
|     | 2.4 Soils                                  | 6        |
|     | 2.5 Surface Water Availability             | 6        |
|     | 2.6 Ground Water Availability              | 7        |
|     | 2.7 Water Use                              | 8        |
|     | 2.8 Crops & Fodder                         | 8        |
|     | 2.9 Description of the Districts of State  | 8        |
|     | Karnataka taken up for Study               |          |
| 3.0 | RAINFALL ANALYSIS                          | 20       |
| 3.0 | 3.1 General                                | 20       |
|     | 3.2 Rainfall Departure Analysis            | 20       |
|     | 3.3 Frequency of Rainfall                  | 26       |
|     | 3.4 Excess/Deficit Rainfall Using Herbst's | 31       |
|     | Approach                                   | *        |
|     | 3.5 Dry Spell Analysis                     | 35       |
|     | •  |          |
| 4.0 |  | 44       |
|     | 4.1 General                                | 44       |
|     | 4.2 Ground Water Level Analysis            | 44       |
|     | 4.3 Inferences                             | 45       |
| 5.0 | ANALYSIS OF RESERVOIR STORAGE              | 48       |
|     | •  |          |
| 6.0 | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS            | 51       |
|     | Acknowledement                             | 53       |
|     | References                                 | ₹ 54     |
|     | Appendices                                 | 55 to 62 |
|     |  |          |

### LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | No. Title.  | Pa    | ge |
|--------|---|-------|----|
| 2.1    | Drought Prone Districts in India  |       | 3  |
| 2.2    | Drought Prone Districts of State Karnataka  |       | 4  |
| 2.3    | Soils of Karnataka  |       | 5  |
| 2.4    | Agricultural Zones of State Karnataka   |       | 9  |
| 2.5    | Location of Raingauge and Groundwater<br>Observation Wells                              |       | 14 |
| 2.6    | Location of Raingauge and Groundwater<br>Observation Wells                              |       | 17 |
| 2.7    | Location of Raingauge and Groundwater<br>Observation Wells                              |       | 19 |
| 3.1    | District wise Seasonal Rainfall Departure   |       | 21 |
| 3.2    | District wise Monthly Rainfall Departure for year 1988-89                               |       | 23 |
| 3.3    | Taluk wise Monthly Rainfall Departure for year 1988-89                                  | 24,   |    |
| 3.4    | District wise Probability Distribution of Annual Rainfall                               |       | 28 |
| 3.5    | Taluk wise Probability Distribution of Annual Rainfall                                  |       |    |
| 3.6    | Overall Average and Monthly Intensity of Drought  | 36 to |    |
| 3.7    | Taluk wise Probability Distribution of Dry Spells                                       |       | 43 |
| 4.1    | Groundwater Level Fluctuations for pre and post monsoon seasons                         | 46,   | 47 |
| 5.1    | Reservoir Level with time and the relationship between reservoir level and live storage | 49,   | 50 |

### LIST OF TABLES

| Sl.No. | Title  | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 2.1    | Details of Population Growth   | 6    |
| 2.2    | Comparative Status of Land Utilization in the State Karnataka  | 7    |
| 2.3    | Utilisable Water Resources in the<br>Karnataka   | 7    |
| 2.4    | District wise Groundwater Potential & utilization in state Karnataka   | 10   |
| 2.5    | Water Availability & Water Requirement<br>for Drought Prone Districts of State<br>Karnataka  | 10   |
| 2.6    | Status of Cropping Pattern in State<br>Karnataka   | 11   |
| 2.7    | Major Crops Grown in Different Agroclimatic<br>Zones, Districts & Soils  | 12   |
| 2.8    | Status of Irrigation in Karnataka  | 12   |
| 3.1    | Percent departure of Seasonal Rainfall for<br>the Districts of Bijapur, Belgaum, Gulburga,<br>Raichur, Bellary and Dharwar of State<br>Karnataka | 22   |
| 3.2    | Deficiency of rainfall in six districts of Karnataka   | 26   |
| 3.3    | Monthly Rainfall Deficits as a whole in the districts of Karnataka during 1988-89  | 26   |
| 3.4    | Observations of Probability Distribution analysis for State Karnataka  | 27   |
| 3.5    | Range of Duration of Dry Spell for 75% Probability   | 42   |
| 4.1    | Status of Groundwater Data of State Karnataka  | 45   |

## LIST OF APPENDICES

| Sl.No.   |       | Title   | Page |
|----------|-------|---|------|
| Appendix | 11    | List of Offices & Places from Where Data & Information were Collected | 55   |
| Appendix | III-A | Duration & Number of Dry Spells<br>During Monsoon                     | 5 7  |
| Appendix | III-B | Probability Analysis of Dry Spells                                    | 60   |
| Appendix | IV -1 | List of Observation Wells   | 62   |

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 General

1.0

In spite of all the inconveniences that drought causes all around the world, many drought phenomena are still insufficiently understood in terms of the characterization and impact assessment. There have been difficulties encountered in finding a generally accepted drought definition. The definitions currently in use are derived either on professional stand points (meteorology, hydrology, geography etc.), or on the economic activity affected (agriculture, power, production, water supply etc.). A most important factor in understanding drought, often not included in definitions, that it is a "supply and demand" phenomena. A definition of drought which does not include reference to water requirement or demand can be regarded as inadequate. In general terms, the chief characteristics of drought is associated with a decrease of water availability in a particular period and over a particular area for specified use(s).

In India, the problem of droughts is recurrent. Estimates indicate that about one-third of the geographical area of the country (107 m.ha.) spread over 99 districts are prone to drought. In recent times, the country faced three drought years in succession namely, 1985, 1986 and 1987. It has been reported that intensity wise the drought of 1987 ranks second in the century, the first one being in year 1918. During the drought of 1987 about 50% of country's area was affected by drought with about 18% negative departure in monsoon rainfall all over India and about 45% negative departure in rainfall over the drought affected region (Upadhyay & Gupta, 1989). Sampath (1989) has reported that during 1987, 21 subdivisions out 35 meteorological οſ recorded deficient/scanty rains leading to drought conditions. It has been further reported that these subdivision account for about 53% of the total food grains production in the country. A quick glance of foodgrains production figures indicates that during year 1987-88 the production was 138.41 million tonnes while in 1988-89 it was estimated to be about 172.0 million tonnes. The years 1985-86 through 1987-88 declining trend of food grains production which fell 150.4 million tonnes in 1985-86 to 138.41 million tonnes in The fluctuation of foodgrain production clearly show 1987-88. dependability of agricultural activities on the rainfall.

The incidents of drought lead to reduction in stream flows, depletion of soil moisture storages, decline of reservoir and tank levels and fall in groundwater table. This in turn lead to reduced agriculture and fodder production. The drought characteristics and the associated problems vary from area to area depending upon the amount of variability of available water supplies and the demand of water for specified users.

### 1.2 Objectives of the Study

Inspite of repeated occurrence of droughts in the country, the hydrologic aspects of droughts have not been studied to the desired extent. Such studies have a direct

bearing on evolving strategies for planning judicious use of scarce water resources.

therefore, initiated studies to Institute The emphasis on Hydrological Aspects of Droughts starting vear 1985. Keeping in view the successive three drought years since 1985, 1986, and 1987, in major parts of the drought prone, area of the country, study areas were chosen in six states Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra, Karnataka, namely: Madhya Pradesh. Studies laying and Gujarat hydrological aspects of drought for 1985-86 with two districts in each of chosen states and for 1986-87 with four districts have been completed. The studies for year 1987-88 have also been carried out in six districts in each of the six states and in view of wider aerial coverage in each state, separate study reports contrary to the earlier study reports which presented results of studies for all the six states in one volume.

The report presents results of studies carried out for six districts of State Karnataka for 1988-89. The districts included for the study are Belgaum; Bijapur, Gulburga, Raichur, Bellary and Dharwar. The report includes analysis of rainfall and groundwater level data for finding the impacts of deficit groundwater effects in rainfall and its consequent on surface In order to evaluate the impacts on tables. water storages, the storage figures in Tungbhadra reservoir and Ghatprabha reservoir located in the state, have been included in the report. The report is an attempt towards developing comprehensive hydrological drought indices characterizing drought situations. List of offices and places from where data and information have been collected in the state of Karnataka are reported in Appendix-II.

### 2.0 DESCRIPTION OF STUDY AREA

### 2.1 General

There are 99 districts spread over 13 states which have been identified as drought prone districts in the country as shown in Fig. 2.1. This report covers the study of six selected drought prone districts of state Karnataka namely: Bijapur, Belgaum, Gulburga, Raichur, Bellary & Dharwar. The location of districts are shown on the state map as shown in Fig. 2.2. The physiographic divisions into which the state can be divided are: Coastal region which is again subdivided into coastal plains, Western Ghats and the Karnataka Plateau. Karnataka State extends over an area of 1.92 lakh sq. kms. which is about 5.84 percent of the total geographical area of the country. Karnataka state lies between 74° -78° 30'E longitude and 10° 58'-18° 30'N latitude in the peninsular India. In terms of area, it holds 8th place among the states of the country.

### . 2.2 Population

The population of the state according to 1981 census is 371.36 lakhs comprising 189.23 lakhs of males and 182.13 lakhs of females. Of the total population, 264.06 lakhs live in the villages and the rest comprising 107.30 lakhs live in the urban towns and cities. Out of the total population of the state as many as 49.44 lakhs or 40 percent are workers. Out of working force around 60 percent are engaged in agricultural occupation. The growth rate of population annually is estimated




FIG. 2.1: DROUGHT PRONE DISTRICTS IN INDIA




Fig. 2.2 DROUGHT PRONE DISTT. IN KARNATAKA




Fig 2.3Soils of Karnataka

Source: Soils of India & Their Management, 1985

at 2.67% and the population are given in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1: Details of population growth.

| Sl.<br>No. | Year<br>of<br>census | States total population (million) | Approx. rural population (million) | Av.annual growth rate (%) |
|------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1.         | 1951                 | 19.40                             | 14.95                              | 1.93                      |
| 2.         | 1961                 | 23.59                             | 18.32                              | 2.16                      |
| 3.         | 1971                 | 29.30                             | 22.18                              | 2.42                      |
| 4.         | 1981                 | 37.13                             | 26.40                              | 2.67                      |

Source: Directorate of Economic and Statistics, Bengalore, 1984.

### 2.3 Land Use & Vegetal Cover

The status of land utilization over 25 years span of development from the year of formation of enlarged Karnataka in 1956-57 and the year 1981-82 is presented in Table 2.2. From the Table 2.2 it can be seen that the percentage of net area sown moved up by hardly one percent from the level of 54 percent to the corresponding geographical area in 1981-82 after 25 years of development. This situation can be attributed to the impact of the vagaries of monsoon as large extent of area are cultivated under rain fed condition in the state.

#### 2.4 Soils

More than three-fourth of the area in the state is covered by most ancient crystallive schists and granite rocks of Archean system. At present, 8 important rock formations are recognised in the state which give rise to different soils. Mainly six broad soil groups have been identified and details of their distribution in the state are shown in Fig. 2.3.

### These soil groups are :

- (A) Black Soils
  - i) Shallow black soils
  - ii) Medium black soils
  - iii) Deep black soils
- (B) Red Soils
- (C) Red Loam Soils
- (D) Mixed Red and Black Soils
- (E) Laterite Soils
- (F) Coastal Alluvials
- (G) Dark Brown Clayey Soils

### 2.5 Surface Water Availability

The average annual flow of all the river systems in India is of the under of 1,700,000 M.cum. Karnataka shares around 6 percent of the water resources of India through the several river systems that drain the state. The average annual flow in the state is estimated to be of the order of 97,800 or around 1,00,000 M.cum. Out of this, about 60 percent or 60,000 M.cum., is available in the East flowing rivers and the remaining 40 percent or 40,000 M.cum. is drained into the Arabian Sea, from the narrow coastal belt through the west flowing rivers. This available water resources is amenable

for utilization as source of irrigation (consumptive) and as source of hydropower development (non-consumptive) through projects.

Table 2.2: Comparative status of land utilization in Karnataka.

|            | •   | 1956-                       | 57  | 1981-                         | 82  |
|------------|---|-----------------------------|-----|-------------------------------|-----|
| S1.<br>No. | particulars                                 | rea<br>in lakh<br>nectares) |     | Area<br>(in lakh<br>hectares) |     |
| 1.         | Forest                                      | 26.9                        | 14  | 30.3                          | 16  |
| 2.         | Land not available for cultivation          | 16.7                        | 10  | 19.3                          | 10  |
| 3.         | Other uncultivated land (excluding fallows) | i<br>28.6                   | 15  | -21.4                         | 11  |
| 4.         | Fallow land                                 | 13.8                        | 7   | 15.6                          | 8   |
| 5.         | Net area sown                               | 100.8                       | 54  | 103.9                         | 55  |
| 6.         | Geographical area<br>(by village papers     | s) 186.8                    | 100 | 190.5                         | 100 |

Source: Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Bengalore, 1984.

The river flows that are available as water resources and that can be put to use on a dependable basis in the different river systems of the state through projects has been presented in Table 2.3. It may be seen from the estimates worked out in the Table 2.3 that only around 70 percent of the surface; water resources available through the flow of the different river systems in the state is utilisable on a dependable basis leaving the balance of 40 percent not amenable for utilization and allowed to flow as runoff.

Table 2.3: Utilisable water resources in the state Karnataka.

| S.No | . Water<br>Resources                           | Estimated<br>Average flow<br>in M.cum. | Estimated Utilisable Water Resources through Projects (approximate) |
|------|--|--|---|
| ī.   | Krishna System                                 | 27,500                                 | 26,800  |
| 2.   | Godavari System                                | 1,400                                  | 560   |
| 3.   | The Cauvery System                             | 11,000                                 | 11,000  |
| 4.   | The West Flowing Rivers The Palar North Pennar | 57,000                                 | 22,000  |
| 6.   | and South Pennar<br>Total Water Resources      | 900                                    | 900   |
| 0.   | Available                                      | 97,800                                 | 61,260  |

Source: Water Resources & Development Organisation, Bangalore.

### 2.6 Ground Water Availability

Ground water in the state occur, under water table conditions, under the hard rocks of the state. The state with

an average elevation of 700 m above the mean sea level is almost wholly composed of metamorphic hard, compact and drystalline rocks which are weathered and decomposed near surface due to continuous exposure to action of rain and water and have become sufficiently porous to hold moderate quantities of groundwater. But, due to greater part of the state being arid with an average annual rainfall below 750 mm together with the climate, sets a limit to the occurrence and circulation of groundwater in the state.

Groundwater get annually recharged mainly as a result of infiltration of rain water and to a limited extent through seepage water available from the surface water sources like streams, tanks, reservoirs and water applied as irrigation. The fluctuation in the water-table experienced during the dry seasons indicates good recharge potential of the groundwater under metamorphic bed rocks of the state.

Studies carried out by state groundwater all have estimated the district wise groundwater recharge through infiltration of rainfall, discharge potential and extent of utilization. Table 2.4 gives the district wise estimates of groundwater potential & utilization. As per estimates worked out the overall utilization of the groundwater of the state is hardly 25 percent or one fourth of its availability.

### 2.7 Water Use

The annual water requirement of water in the state for domestic and live stock purposes during 1981 was of the order of 0.0868 M.ha.m. which has been estimated to increase to a level of 0.1365 M.ha.m. by the year 1991 (CWC, 1988). The details of water availability and water requirement for drought prone districts of state Karnataka are given in Table 2.5.

### 2.8 Crops & Fodder

Due to large scale variations in topography, climate, soils, vegetation and crops, rainfall etc., the state has been divided into 10 agroclimate zones as shown in Fig. 2.4. It may be seen from the figure that in as many as 10 districts more than one agroclimatic characteristics as per the criteria is noticed. The cropping pattern of the state Karnataka is shown in Table 2.6. Also the major crops grown in different agroclimatic zones regions, districts and soils have been detailed in Table 2.7.

The status of irrigation in the state according to the different sources is presented in the Table 2.8.

#### 2.9 Districts Selected for Study

The following section include description of individual districts taken up for study in the state Karnataka.

### 2.9.1 Belgaum

Belgaum district is situated in the northern part of Karnataka state. Its geographical location is between 15° 22' N and 16° 58' N latitude and 74° 2' E and 75° 25' E longitude.




Fig 2.4Agricultural zones of Karnataka

Source: Soil of India & Their Management, 1985

Table 2.4 : District wise ground water potential and utilisation in Marmataka.

| Sl.<br>No. | District    | Normal<br>rain-<br>fall | Infiltra-<br>tion (in<br>percent) |        | Discharge<br>(in cu. |         | Percentage<br>of utili-<br>sation |
|------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|----------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|
|            |             | (in mm)                 | <b>P</b> 0,                       |        |                      | (in cu. | <b>31</b> )                       |
| 1          | 2           | 3                       | 4                                 | 5      | 6                    | 7       | 8                                 |
| 1.         | Bangalore   | 193.6                   | 8                                 | 509    | 262                  | 247     | 51                                |
| 2.         | Belgaum     | 184.7                   | 1                                 | 740    | 300                  | 440     | 41                                |
| 3.         | Bellary     | 574.9                   | 8                                 | 439    | 61                   | 378     | 14                                |
| 4.         | Bidar       | 907.5                   | 10                                | 495    | 175                  | 320     | 35                                |
| 5.         | Bijapur     | 552.8                   | 6                                 | 568    | 281                  | 287     | 49                                |
| 6.         | Chikmagalur | 1989.8                  | 4.5                               | 637    | i1                   | 620     | 3                                 |
| 7.         | Chitradurga | 579.3                   | 8                                 | 500    | 162                  | 338     | 32                                |
| 8.         | Dakshina    | 3932.4                  | 3                                 | 1073   | 251                  | 822     | 23                                |
|            | Kannada     |                         |                                   |        |                      |         |                                   |
| 9.         | Dharwad     | 691.1                   | 8                                 | 751    | 95                   | 666     | 13                                |
| 10.        | Gulbarga    | 702.3                   | 8                                 | 905    | 94                   | 811     | 10                                |
| П.         | Hassan      | 1040.7                  | 7.5                               | 512    | 11                   | 495     | j                                 |
| 12.        | Kodagu      | 2725.5                  | 3                                 | 336    | 3                    | 133     | 0.9                               |
| 13.        | folar       | 730.5                   | 8                                 | 432    | 302                  | 130     | 70                                |
| 14.        | Kandya      | 691.2                   | 8                                 | 215    | 212                  | 233     | 15                                |
| 15.        | Mysore      | 761.9                   | 8                                 | 680    | 70                   | 610     | 10                                |
| 16.        | Raichur     | 601.6                   | 8                                 | 671    | 120                  | 551     | 18                                |
| 17.        | Shimoga     | 1526.3                  | 3                                 | 476    | 30                   | 446     | 6                                 |
| i8.        | Tunkur      | 687.9                   | 8                                 | 584    | 351                  | 233     | 60                                |
| 19.        | Uttara      | 2764.1                  | 3                                 | 843    | 108                  | 735     | 13                                |
|            | Lannada     |                         |                                   |        |                      |         |                                   |
|            | Karnataka   | 1354.7                  |                                   | 11,426 | 2,941                | 8,685,  | 24.51                             |

Source: Department of Mines and Geology, Groundwater Celly Bangalore.

Table 2.5: Water availability and water requirement for drought prone districts of state Karnataka.

| $\overline{s1.}$ | District    | Water Avai   | lability     | Total        |  |  |
|------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|
| No.              |             | 50% dependa- | 75% dependa- | Requirements |  |  |
|                  | •           | bility       | bility       |              |  |  |
| 1                | 2           | 3            | 4            | 5            |  |  |
| 1.               | Bangalore   | 1.87         | 1.38         | 1.27         |  |  |
| 2.               | Belgaum     | 4.00         | 3.28         | 2.86         |  |  |
| 3.               | Bellary     | 2.39         | 2.04         | 1.78         |  |  |
| 4.               | Bijapur     | 5.38         | 4.85         | 4.54         |  |  |
| 5.               | Chikmagalur | 3.48         | 2.65         | 1.98         |  |  |
| 6.               | Chitradurga | 2.21         | 2.01         | 1.78         |  |  |
| 7.               | Dharwad     | 4.03         | 3.78         | 1.63         |  |  |
| 8.               | Gulburga    | 6.36         | 5.40         | 3.28         |  |  |
| 9.               | Hassan      | 1.83         | 1.47         | 1.20         |  |  |
| 10.              | Kolar       | 0.98         | 0.86         | 0.89         |  |  |
| 11.              | Mandya      | 2.92         | 2.76         | 2.57         |  |  |
| 12.              | _           | 3.33         | 3.03         | 3.19         |  |  |
| 13.              | Raichur     | 5.93         | 5.21         | 4.88         |  |  |
| 14.              | Tumkur      | 2.54         | 1.98         | 1.87         |  |  |

Source: Water Resources of India, CWC, 1988.

Table 2.6: Status of cropping pattern in state Karnataka.

| s1. (          | Crops             | 195                           | 6-57                                      | 1981-82                       |     |  |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----|--|
| No.            | _                 | Area<br>(in lakh)<br>hectares | Percentage<br>to total<br>cropped<br>area | Area<br>(in lakh)<br>hectares |     |  |
| 1              | 2                 | 3                             | 4   | 5                             | 6   |  |
| A. F           | OOD CROPS         |                               |   |                               |     |  |
|                | ereals            |                               |   |                               |     |  |
| 1. Pa          |                   | 9.2                           | 9   | 11.7                          | 10  |  |
| 2. J           |                   | 25.0                          | 25  | 21.1                          | 19  |  |
|                |                   | 8.8                           | 8   | 11.5                          | 10  |  |
| 3. R           |                   |                               | Neg                                       | 1.6                           | i   |  |
| 4. M           |                   | 0.1                           |   | 6.4                           | 6   |  |
| 5. B           |                   | 5.3                           | 5   |                               | 3   |  |
| 6. W           |                   | 3.1                           | 3   | 3.3                           | 4   |  |
| 7.0            | ther Cereals      | 4.8                           | 5   | 3.8                           | 4   |  |
|                | ,                 |                               | C. E                                      | 50 4                          | 53  |  |
| $\mathbf{T}$   | otal              | 57.1                          | 55  | 59.4                          | 55  |  |
| II P           | ulses             |                               |   |                               |     |  |
|                | engalgram         | 1.6                           | 1   | 1.4                           | 1   |  |
|                | ur                | 2.9                           | 3   | 3.7                           | 4   |  |
|                | ther pulses       | 8.3                           | 8   | 10.4                          | 9   |  |
| Total          | Pulses            | 12.8                          | 12  | 15.5                          | 14  |  |
| Total          | food grains       | 69.9                          | 67  | 74.9                          | 67  |  |
|                | ther food<br>rops | 3.9                           | 4   | 6.5                           | 6   |  |
|                | otal food<br>rops | 73.8                          | 71  | 81.4                          | 73  |  |
|                | ON FOOD CROP      | 'S                            |   |                               |     |  |
| 12 - 0         | roundnut          | 9.4                           | 9   | 8.6                           | 8   |  |
|                | esamum            | 0.6                           | 2   | 1.1 - 3                       | 1   |  |
|                | ther oil eeds     | 4.0                           | 4   | 5.8                           | 5   |  |
| Total          | oil seeds         | 14.0                          | 14  | 15.5                          | 14  |  |
|                |                   |                               | 11  | 10.4                          | 9   |  |
|                | otton             | 11.8                          |   |                               |     |  |
|                | ther Fibres       | 0.4                           | Neg                                       | 0.3                           | Neg |  |
|                | ther non<br>rops  | 4.0                           | 4   | 4.6                           | 4   |  |
| Total<br>crops | non food          | 30.2                          | 29  | 30.8                          | 27  |  |
| Total<br>Crops | of all            | 104.0                         | 100                                       | 112.2                         | 100 |  |

Source: Directorate of Economics & statistics, Bangalore, 1984.

Table 2.7: Major crops generally grown in different Agroclimatic zones, districts and soils.

| Region     | Zone  | District   | Traditional<br>Nomenclature of<br>soil                        | Hajor crops grown  |
|------------|-------|--|---|--|
| I          | 1,2   | Bidar, Gulbarga and<br>parts of Raichur  | Red soil,<br>Laterite soil,<br>Black soil                     | Sorghum, red gram, bengal<br>gram, groundnut, saf-<br>flower, niger, pearl<br>millet, rice, cotton,<br>sugarcane and chillies. |
| II         | 3     | Bijapur,Bellary and<br>parts of Raichur,<br>Dharwad and Belgaua  | Black soil, Red<br>soil                                       | Sorghum, yearl millet,<br>groundnut, bengal gram,<br>cotton, wheat and<br>sunflower.   |
| III        | 4,5,6 | Chitradurga, Tumbur,<br>Mandya, Bangalore,<br>Kolar and parts<br>of Massan Chikma-<br>nglur and Mysore | Black soil, Red soil, Red soil, Red Sandy soil, Laterite soil | Finger millet, pulses,<br>sorghum (Kharif), rice,<br>groundnut, sugarcane,<br>cotton and millets                               |
| IA         | 7,8   | Parts of Belgaum,<br>Dharwad, Shimoga,<br>Chikmagalur, Hassan<br>and Mysore                            | Red soil, Red<br>sandy soil,<br>Laterite soil<br>Black soil   | Sorghum, finger millet, rice, oilseeds, pulses, cotton, wheat, tobacco, millets and aromatic plants                            |
| <b>V</b> . | 9 .   | Coorg and parts of<br>Hassan, Chikmangalur<br>Shimoga, Uttara<br>Kannada and Dharwad                   | Red soil, Red<br>sandy soil,<br>Laterite soil                 | Rice, plantation and horticultural crops   |
| VI         | 10    | Dakshina Kannada<br>and parts of<br>Uttara Kannada   | Red soil Alluvial<br>soil, Laterite<br>soil                   | Rice, pulses, groundnut,<br>plantation and horti-<br>cultural crops  |

Source: Soils of India and Their Management, 1985.

Table 2.8: Status of irrigation in Karnataka.

| sl. | Source of     | 1901                            |                             | 1956-57                         |                              | 1981-82                         |                             |
|-----|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| No. | Irrigation    | Area<br>(lakh<br>hecta-<br>res) | Percent-<br>age to<br>total | Area<br>(lakh<br>hecta-<br>res) | Percent-<br>age to<br>.total | Area<br>(lakh<br>becta-<br>res) | Percent-<br>age to<br>total |
| I   | 2             | 3                               | 4                           | 5                               | 6                            | 7                               | 8                           |
| 1.  | Canals        | 0.56                            | 11                          | 1.65                            | 22                           | 5.80                            | 40                          |
| 2.  | Tanks         | 2.60                            | 52                          | 3.28                            | 44                           | 3.21                            | 22                          |
| 3.  | Wells         | 0.60                            | 12                          | 1.29                            | 18                           | 4.01                            | 27                          |
| 4.  | Other sources | 1.28                            | 25                          | 1.18                            | 16                           | 1.68                            | 11                          |
| Tot | al of         |                                 |                             |                                 |                              |                                 | <del></del>                 |
| all | sources       | 5.04                            | 100                         | 7.40                            | ٨                            | 14.70                           | 100                         |


Source: Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Bangalore, 1984.

It has a geographical area of 13460.8 sq.km. The district consists of ten taluks. The district has 1158 inhabited villages, 6 uninhabited villages and 19 towns. The population of the district is found to be 2974861 and the density of the population is found to be 221 persons per sq.km. as per 1981 census data. The soil of the district is generally of five types viz. Medium black. Deep black, mixed black and red soil, Red loamy soil and Laterite soil. The land use in the district is, forests in 191095 ha, barren and uncultivable lands 47117 ha, land put to nonagricultural uses 50841 ha and culturable area, 1027281 ha. as per data from 1971-72 to 1979-80. The total irrigated area in the district is 127932 ha. main river flowing through Belgaum district is Krishna. As per CWC studies of 1982, the normal annual rainfall of the district is 813.74 mm. Normally there are 52.75 rainy days a year according to the data analysis for 1901-1980. There 36 raingauge stations located in the District and density of raingauge station is 373.91 sq.km. per raingauge station as per data of year 1982. The maximum annual rainfall in the district is reported as 1220.36 mm in 1914. The south west monsoon gives about 67.33% of annual rainfall in the district. The coefficient of variation for annual rainfall has been estimated to be 24.31% for the district. As per C.G.W.B. data, the ground water potential of the district of one year is that the annual recharge of ground water is of order of 718.74 M.cum. while the draft is 302.9 m.cum. and surplus is 416.84 m.cum. in one year. The district faced 8 hydrological drought years during the period 1951 to 1980 according to CWC (1982) observation. The map of the district showing location of raingauges and groundwater observation wells which have been choosen for analysis is shown in Fig. 2.5.

### 2.9.2 Bijapur

Bijapur district exist in the northern plain of Karnataka state. Geographically, it is located between 15° 20' N to 17° 28' N latitude and 74° 50' E to 76° 28' E longitude. The geographical area of the district is 17069 sq.km. The district consists of eleven talukas and has 1239 inhabited villages, 29 uninhabited villages and 17 towns. The population of the district is estimated to be 23,99,124 and the density of population is reported as 140 persons per sq.km. according to the data available in 1981. There are two types of soils generally found in the district viz., Black, Mixed red and black soils. The land use in the district as per data from 1971-72 to 1980-81 is forests in 831103 ha., barren and uncultivable lands 51344 ha, land put to nonagricultural uses 44881 ha and culturable area 1515921 ha. The total irrigated area in the district is 96410 ha with the source wise distribution of 35137 ha. by surface water and 61273 ha. by ground water. The main rivers flowing through Bijapur district are Krishna and Bhima. The catchment area of main Krishna river in the district is 8620 sq.km.

As per CWC studies of 1982, the normal annual rainfall of the district is 575.31 mm. Normally there are 44.06 rainy days in a year according to the data analysis from 1901 to 1980. There are 52 raingauge stations located in the district and density of raingauge station is 328.71 sq.km. per raingauge station as per data of year 1982. The maximum annual rainfall in the district was measured as 1097.00 mm in 1916. The south



(a) DISTT. BIJAPUR




FIG. 2.5 : LOCATION OF RAINGAUGE STATION & GROUNDWATER WELL

west monsoon gives about 63.74% of annual rainfall in the district. The coefficient of variation for annual rainfall has been found to be 24.55% for the district. As per C.G.W.B. data, the groundwater potential of the district of one year is that the annual recharge of groundwater is of order of 360.46 m.cum. while the draft is 275.06 m.cum. and surplus is 113.30 m.cum. in one year. The district faced 13 hydrological drought years during the period 1951 to 1980 according to CWC (1982) observation. The map of the district showing location of raingauges and groundwater observation wells which have been choosen for analysis is shown in Fig. 2.5.

### 2.9.3 Gulburga

Gulburga district lies in the northern part of Karnataka state and the geographical location of the district is between 16° 12' to 17° 46' latitudes and 76° 04' to 77° 42' longitudes. The district has geographical area of 16167.8 sq.km. and this district is one of the drought affected districts of the state. The district consists of ten talukas and has 1305'inhabited villages, 82 uninhabited villages and 14 towns. The population of Gulburga district is 2075368 & density of population is 128 persons per sq.km. according data available in 1981.

It has been reported that generally the district has three types of soils viz. red soils, black soils and alluvial soils. The land use in the district as per data from 1970-71 to 1979-80 is forests in 70172 ha., land put to non agricultural uses 47538 ha., barren & unculturable land 75059 ha. and culturable area 1,362,577 ha. As per the data available from 1970-71 to 1979-80 the total irrigated area in the district is 26598 ha. with the source wise distribution of 10314 ha. by surface water and 16284 ha. by groundwater. Through Bulburga district the main rivers flow include the Krishna and Bhima.

As per CWC studies of 1982 the normal annual rainfall of the district is 768.63 mm. Normally there are 51.49 rainy days in a year according to analysis of data from 1901 to 1980. There are 53 raingauge stations located in the district and density of rain gauge station is 305.05 sq.km./ per raingauge station as per data of year 1982. The maximum annual rainfall in the district was experienced as 1431.9 mm in year 1903. The southwest monsoon gives about 76.28% of annual rainfall in the district. The coefficient of variation for annual rainfall has been reported as 27.26% for the district. The groundwater potential of the district as per CGWB data of one year is that the annual recharge to groundwater is of the order of 1295.76 m.cum. while the draft is 183.60 m.cum. and surplus is 1112.16 m.cum. in one year. As per CWC (1982) observations the district faced 12 hydrological drought years during the period 1951 to 1980. The map of the district showing location of raingauges and groundwater observation wells which have been selected for analysis are shown in Fig. 2.6.

### 2.9.4 Raichur

Raichur district is situated in the North Eastern fringe of Karnataka state. The geographical location of the district is between  $15^{\circ}$  9' to  $16^{\circ}$  34' N latitudes and  $75^{\circ}$  46' E to  $77^{\circ}$  35' E longitudes. The area of the district is 14005 sq.km. The district consists of nine talukas and has 1387 inhabited

villages, 129, uninhabited village and 10 towns. The population of Raichur district is 1779942 and density of population 127 person per sq.km. as per 1981 census.

The soils in the district are generally three types viz. black cotton, red, & grey sandy soils. The land use in the district as per data from 1970-71 to 1978-79 is, forests in 32424 ha., land put to non agricultural uses 51717 ha., barren & unculturable land 51385 ha., and culturable area 1213479 ha. The total irrigated area in the district is 153381 ha. with the source-wise distribution of 138894 ha. by surface water and 13793 ha. by ground water 698 ha. by other sources. Krishna & Tungabhadra are the two main rivers flowing through the Raichur district. The catchment areas of these river basins in the district are 4221 sq.km. for Krishna 9617 sq.km. for Tungbhadra.


As per CWC studies of 1982 the normal annual rainfall of the district is 604.21 mm. Normally there are 40.08 rainy days in a year according to analysis of data from 1901 to 1980. There are 43 raingauge stations located in the district and density of rain gauge station is 325.70 sq.km. per raingauge station as per data of year 1982. The maximum annual rainfall in the district was experienced as 1224.02 mm in year 1916. The southwest monsoon gives about 69.66% of annual rainfall in the district. The coefficient of variation for annual rainfall has been reported as 25.90% for the district. The groundwater potential of the district as per CGWB data of one year is that the annual recharge to groundwater is 296.05 m.cum. while the draft is 124.84 m.cum. and surplus is 176.84 m.cum. in one year. As per CWC (1982) observations the district faced 14 hydrological drought years during the period 1951 to 1980. The map of the district showing location of raingauges and groundwater observation wells which have been choosen for analysis is shown in Fig. 2.6.

### 2.9.5 Bellary

Bellary district is situated in the North Eastern fringe of Karnataka state. Its geographical location is between 14° 30' to 15° 50' N latitudes and 75° 40' E to 77° 11' E longitudes. The geographical area of the district is 9898 sq.km. The district consists of eight talukas and has 589 inhabited villages, 34 uninhabited village and 12 towns. The population of district is 1487062 and the density of population is calculated to be 150 persons per sq.km. as per data of 1981 census.

Three types of soils are generally found in the district viz. Red, and Black soils, and mixed soils. The land use in the district as per data from 1976-77 to 1979-80 is, forests in 117,416ha., barren and uncultivable lands 61554 ha, land put to non agricultural uses 79649 ha., and culturable area 690615 ha. The total irrigated area in the district is 97223 ha. with the sources wise distribution of 79395 ha. by canals, 6899 ha. by tanks, 10960 ha. by wells and 2969 ha, by other sources. The main rivers flowing through the Bellary districts Tungabhadra. The catchment areas of the main Tungabhadra is 6780 sq.km.

As per CWC studies of 1982, the normal annual rainfall of the district is 629.45 mm. Normally there are 43.23 rainy days in a year according to analysis of data from 1901 to






FIG. 2, 6 : LOCATION OF RAINGAUGE STATION & GROUNDWATER WELL

1980. There are 36 raingauge stations located in the district and density of rain gauge station is 274.94 sq.km. per raingauge station as per data of year 1982. The maximum annual rainfall in the district was measured as 964.02 mm in year 1933. The normal annual rainfall in the south monsoon is 59.79% in the district. The coefficient of variation for annual rainfall has been found to be 21.62% for the district.


The groundwater potential of the district of one year is that the annual recharge to groundwater is of order of 261.93 m.cum. while the draft is 81.93 m.cum. and surplus is 182.09 m.cum. in one year as per C.G.W.B data of 1982. The district faced 10 hydrological drought years during the period from 1951 to 1980 according the CWC (1982) observation. The map of the district showing the location of raingauges and groundwater observation wells which have been choosen for analysis is shown in Fig. 2.7.

#### 2.9.6 Dharwad

Dharwad, a district of Karnataka exist in the Northern plain of state. The geographical location of the district is 14° 17' N to 15° 50' N latitudes and 75° 48' E to 76° 0' E longitudes. The geographical area of the district is 13738 sq.km. The district consists of seventeen talukas and has 1337 inhabited villages, 112 uninhabited village and 18 towns. The population of district is reported to be 2939988 and the density of population is found to be 214 persons per sq.km. as per data available of 1981. Three types of soils are generally found in the district viz. Black, and Mixed Red & Black soils and Red sandy soils. The land use in the district as per data from 1971-72 to 1980-81 is, forests in 111866 ha., barren and uncultivable lands 29909 ha, land put to non agricultural uses 27962 ha., and culturable area 1170465 ha. The total irrigated area in the district is 80300 ha. with the sources wise distribution of 69250 ha. by surface water and 11050 ha. by groundwater. The main river flowing through Dharwad district is Krishna. The catchment areas of the main Tungabhadra river within the district is 3382 sq.km.

As per CWC studies of 1982, the normal annual rainfall of the district is measured to be 729.61 mm. and normally, there are 55.45 rainy days in a year according to the data analysis from 1901 to 1980. There are 66 raingauge stations located in the district and density of rain gauge station is 208.15 sq.km. per raingauge station as per data of year 1982. The maximum annual rainfall in the district was reported as 996.29 mm in year 1979. The southwest monsoon contributes about 60.21%. of normal annual rainfall in the district. The coefficient of variation for annual rainfall has been measured as 17.10% for the district.

As per C.G.W.B. data, the groundwater potential of the district of one year is that the annual recharge to groundwater is of order of 576.88 m.cum. while the draft is 101.71 m.cum. and surplus is 485.18 m.cum. in one year. The district faced 10 hydrological drought years during the period from 1951 to 1980 according the CWC (1982) observation. The map of the district showing the location of raingauges and groundwater observation wells which have been choosen for analysis is shown in Fig. 2.7.



### 3.0 RAINFALL ANALYSIS

### 3.1 General

As has already been described in chapter 2.0, Six district, namely Belgaum, Bijapur, Gulburga, Raichur, Bellary and Dharwar from the state of Karnataka have been taken up for rainfall analysis in the present report. One representative raingauge station from each taluk in each of the six district has been selected for the study. The locations of raingauges on the district maps have been shown in figures presented in chapter 2.0. The raingauge stations selected for the study are the ones which were selected by Central Water Commission for carrying out studies for identification of drought prone areas in 1982. The analysis of rainfall data has been carried out with the data from year 1901-1989. The data from 1901 to 1980 have been extracted from CWC reports (CWC 1982). The remaining data from 1981 to 1989 have been collected during visits of scientific teams to various central/state Govt. offices in the state Karnataka.

### 3.2 Rainfall Departure Analysis

### 3.2.1 Seasonal rainfall departure

In order to compute the deficiency of rainfall on seasonal basis seasonal rainfall Departure analysis has been carried out. The data from period 1970-89 have been used for this analysis. Seasonal normals for the six chosen districts of Karnataka have been calculated as the summation of normals for the months (June to September) as provided in the CWC reports. Only four months i.e. June, July, August and September are taken in account while estimating seasonal normals. The results are reported in Table 3.1 and presented in Fig.3.1. The major inferences that could be drawn from the seasonal analysis are:

All six districts except Bellary experienced deficient seasonal rainfall in three consecutive years from 1984 to 1986. In year 1987 only Belgaum experienced the negative departure that to in the range 20 to 30 percent. In year 1988 only two districts Raichur and Dharwar showed negative departure in the order of 0.0 to 20 percent. In year 1989 all the districts but Bijapur showed negative departure in the range of 0.0 to 40 percent.

### 3.2.2 Monthly rainfall departure for the year 1988-89

In order to observe deficiency in monthly rainfall during the year 1988-89, monthly departures have been worked out for the same six districts. This analysis has been done for all the taluks and district as a whole. Monthly rainfall values from June 88 to May 89 along with monthly normals of representative raingauges of various taluks have been considered for the purpose. Monthly rainfall values for a district from June'88 to May'89 have been computed as weighted average rainfall of all the taluks considered for analysis in the district. Monthly normals of districts have been directly taken from reports of CWC (CWC,1982). It may be mentioned that in case of some districts/taluks monthly departure analysis has seen limited to some months only due to data availability constraints.






Table 3.1 : Percent departure of seasonal rainfall for the districts of Belgaum, Bijapur, Gulburga, Raichur, Bellary and Dharwar of state Karnataka.

| Karnataka | Districts |         |          |         |         |         |  |  |
|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Year      | Belgaum   | Bijapur | Gulburga | Raichur | Bellary | Dharwar |  |  |
| Seasonal  | 762.39    | 534.82  | 726.83   | 573.05  | 582.32  | 671.52  |  |  |
| normal, m | 1         |         |          |         |         |         |  |  |
| 70        | -6.94     | 15.50   | 2.45     | 2.28    | 24.32   | -1.59   |  |  |
| 71        | -16.86    | 10.00   | -42.77   | -30.61  | 8.82    | -11.63  |  |  |
| 72        | -18.60    | -37.60  | -47.75   | -40.36  | -9.83   | -22.78  |  |  |
| 73        | 0.10      | 18.20   | 30.30    | -0.37   | 18.36   | -8.66   |  |  |
| 74        | 16.29     | 28.20   | 31.83    | 10.70   | 14.38   | 12.11   |  |  |
| 75        | 32.43     | 61.70   | 76.17    | -7.07   | 48.71   | 20.49   |  |  |
| 76        | -13.38    | -33.70  | -2.90    | -38.33  | -51.82  | -33.94  |  |  |
| 77        | 11.79     | 22.20   | 24.97    | -8.67   | 6.66    | -6.77   |  |  |
| 78        | 7.03      | 30.90   | 38.32    | 1.38    | 19.94   | 18.25   |  |  |
| 79        | 21.38     | 28.10   | 14.73    | 1.30    | 2.14    | 33.55   |  |  |
| 80        | -2.67     | -26.00  | -5.01    | -33.47  | -8.05   | 5.14    |  |  |
| 81        | 12.88     | 59.99   | 50.78    | 20.60   | 40.15   | -7.44   |  |  |
| 82        | -9.75     | 6.08    | 8.99     | -3.19   | 21.82   | 6.81    |  |  |
| 83        | 0.09      | - 0.65  | 63.07    | 8.62    | 34.62   | -12.69  |  |  |
| 84        | -24.55    | -19.06  | -9.98    | -21.57  | 52.44   | -22.78  |  |  |
| 85        | -30.69    | -22.22  | -14.90   | -29.83  | -32.92  | -43.34  |  |  |
| 86        | -20.31    | -15.38  | -23.40   | -16.64  | 18.58   | -9.92   |  |  |
| 87        | -21.24    | 38.25   | 12.03    | 5.18    | 15.29   | 0.92    |  |  |
| 88        | 5.44      | 4.39    | 16.72    | -12.36  | 18.95   | -3.13   |  |  |
| 89        | -18.82    | 13.95   | -4.17    | -31.61  | -14.65  | -35.27  |  |  |

The variations in rainfall month wise (monthly rainfall and corresponding normals) have been plotted for all the six districts for water year June'88 to May'89, and are shown in Figure 3.2. The departure figures for two representative taluks of all the six districts are shown in Figures 3.3. The results of monthly departure analysis for the districts as a whole are presented in Table 3.2. Based on the monthly departure values, two categories of monthly departure i.e. 20-50% and more than 50% have been made for deriving monthly deficiency inferences.

The following inferences can be drawn from the results presented in Figures 3.2, 3.3 and Table 3.3. It is clear that the monsoon performed well over the selected districts during the months of July, August and September 1988 resulting in positive departure. However in the months of June, October and November, it was below normal rainfall resulting in negative departures. As the months from December '88 to April '89 lies in non monsoon period and has a low normal rainfall, these do not count much. From the district wise monthly rainfall departure analysis, it can be said that the overall effect of monsoon was good as it is also evident from seasonal rainfall departure analysis in section 3.2.1.








Table 3.2: Percent deficiency of rainfall in six districts of Karnataka.

| Year/month | Districts of Karnataka, Percent departure |         |          |         |         |         |  |
|------------|---|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--|
|            | Belgaum                                   | Bijapur | Gulburga | Raichur | Bellary | Dharwar |  |
| 1988 June  | -21.13                                    | -37.94  | 40.14    | -37.82  | -54.96  | 9.45    |  |
| 1988 July  | 12.75                                     | -8.75   | 50.30    | -4.74   | 7.96    | -4.68   |  |
| 1988 Aug.  | 50.65                                     | 168.32  | 86.04    | 80.04   | 163.00  | 67.10   |  |
| 1988 Sept. | 111.41                                    | 33.56   | 43.67    | -0.57   | 58.15   | 40.91   |  |
| 1988 Oct.  | -70.46                                    | -82.95  | -93.40   | -85.43  | -78.56  | -83.92  |  |
| 1988 Nov.  | -100.00                                   | -100.00 | -100.00  | -100.00 | -100.00 | -100.00 |  |
| 1988 Dec.  | -100.00                                   | -100.00 | -100.00  | -100.00 | -100.00 | -100.00 |  |
| 1989 Jan.  | -100.00                                   | -100.00 | -100.00  | -100.00 | -100.00 | -100.00 |  |
| 1989 Feb.  | -100.00                                   | -100.00 | -100.00  | -100.00 | -100.00 | -100.00 |  |
| 1989 March | 164.38                                    | 353.67  | 354.70   | 438.64  | 340.54  | 194.15  |  |
| 1989 April | -55.36                                    | -56.98  | -23.69   | -36.19  | -32.44  | -67.25  |  |
| 1989 May   | -51.39                                    | -8.05   | -29.75   | -44.37  | -80.28  | -46.20  |  |

Table 3.3: Monthly rainfall deficits as a whole in districts of Karnataka during 1988-89.

| Months/<br>year             | Group Range of Def<br>(expressed perce | eficiency in rainfall centage of normals)                   |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|
|                             | 20 to 50%                              | 50% and above   |  |  |
| June 1988                   | Bijapur, Belgaum<br>Gulbarga, Raichur  | Bellary   |  |  |
| July                        | Bijapur,Dharwar,<br>Raichur            | Nil   |  |  |
| August                      | Nil                                    | Nil   |  |  |
| September                   | Raichur                                | Nil   |  |  |
| October 1988<br>to Feb 1989 | Nil                                    | Belgaum, Bijapur,<br>Gulburga, Raichur,<br>Bellary, Dharwar |  |  |
| March 1989                  | Nil                                    | Nil   |  |  |
| April                       | Gulburga, Raichur,<br>Bellary          | Belgaum, Bijapur,<br>Dharwar                                |  |  |
| May                         | Bijapur, Gulburga<br>Raichur, Dharwar  | Belgaum, Bellary  |  |  |

### 3.3 Frequency of Rainfall

### 3.3.1 Probability analysis of annual rainfall

The probability analysis of annual rainfall is useful to predict with reasonable accuracy the relative frequency of occurrence in different group intervals of annual rainfall. It is also possible to work out the percentage probability of occurrence of 75% of annual rainfall or more for identification of drought proneness of district/taluk/tehsil.

Two taluks from each district and district as a whole have been selected for probability analysis of annual rainfall. The analysis has been carried out based on the data available from 1901 to 1988 and probability expressed both in number of years of occurrence and the percentage of years for each group


interval. Group interval of 100 mm has been considered for the analysis.

The probability distribution curves have been drawn by plotting the values of percentage of cumulative probability in respect of various groups at their corresponding midpoint. The cumulative percentage have been worked out starting from the maximum rainfall group downwards adding the successive percentage.

Probability groups for all the six districts and also for two selected taluks in each districts of the state have been shown in Figures 3.4 and 3.5 respectively. The range of annual rainfall at 75% probability level can be established using these graphs and such values for all districts and two taluks in district are given in Table 3.4 along with the percentage probability of occurrence of 75% normal rainfall of the district. It can be seen from table that all the six districts have more than 80% of probability of getting 75% of normal rainfall indicating that the districts are less prone to drought conditions.

Table 3.4: Observation of probability distribution analysis for state Karnataka.

| sı. | District | Name of<br>Taluks            | 75% probability & above | 75 % of<br>normal<br>rain | Probability of occurrence of rainfall equivalent to 75% normal, % |  |
|-----|----------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
|     |          |                              | (Range in mm)           | fall,                     |   |  |
| 1.  | Belgaum  | Belgaum                      | 1100-1200               |                           | 99  |  |
|     |          | Chikodi                      | 500-600                 |                           | 65  |  |
|     |          | District as                  |                         |                           |   |  |
|     |          | a whole                      | 700-800                 | 610.30                    | 92  |  |
| 2 . | Bijapur  | Bijapur                      | 400-500                 |                           | 82  |  |
|     |          | Bagalkot<br>District as      | 500-600                 |                           | 83  |  |
|     |          | District as<br>a whole       | 500-600                 | 431.48                    | 0.0   |  |
|     |          | a whole                      | 500-600                 | 431.48                    | 83  |  |
| 3.  | Gulburga | Gulburga                     | 600-700                 |                           | 87  |  |
|     |          | Afjalpur<br>District as      | 500-600                 |                           | 78  |  |
|     |          | a whole                      | 600-700                 | 576.47                    | 88  |  |
| ١.  | Raichur  | Raichur                      | 500-600                 |                           | 92  |  |
|     |          | Koppal<br>District as        | 500-600                 |                           | 82  |  |
|     |          | a whole                      | 500-600                 | 453.15                    | 90  |  |
| 5.  | Bellary  | Bellary                      | 400-500                 |                           | 67  |  |
|     |          | Harapanshalle<br>District as | 500-600                 |                           | 88  |  |
|     |          | a whole                      | 500-600                 | 472.08                    | 92  |  |
| 6.  | Dharwad  | Dharwad                      | 700-800                 |                           | 95  |  |
|     |          | Hanagal                      | 800-900                 |                           | 98  |  |
|     |          | District as                  |                         |                           |   |  |
|     |          | a whole                      | 600-700                 | 547.20                    | 98  |  |






Fig.3.5: Talukwise probability of annual rainfall.




Fig.3.5: Talukwise probability of annual rainfall.

# 3.3.2 Probability of occurrence of rainfall equivalent to 75% of the normal rainfall

For identification of drought proneness of the district/ taluk, the percentage probability of occurrence of rainfall equivalent to the 75% of normal rainfall or more has also been worked out from the Figures 3.4 and 3.5 and the values are presented in Table 3.4. As per IMD criteria, an area would be classified as drought prone if probability of rainfall equivalent to 75% of normal is below 80% indicating that more than 20% of years, the area experienced scarcity of rain. Central Water Commission has carried out analysis and identified drought prone areas on this ground (CWC, 1982). Using this criteria, inferences drawn from values in Table 3.4 are as below:

The probability values of occurrence of 75% normal rainfall in all the six districts namely Belgaum, Bijapur, Gulburga, Raichur, Bellary & Dharwar are 92, 83, 88, 90, 92 and 98 percent respectively, which are all above 80% indicating that the district as a whole are not drought prone based on this analysis as per IMD criteria. This infers that the districts of Belgaum, Bijapur, Gulburga, Raichur, Bellary and Dharwar experienced rainfall less than 75% of normal in 8, 17, 12, 10, 60 and 2 percent of year respectively. The taluks of all the 31% districts showed similar results indicating that these taluks as well as district as a whole are less prone drought as per IMD criteria except taluk Chikodi in Belgaum, taluk Bellary in district Bellary and taluk Gulbarga in district Gulbarga.

### 3.4 Excess/Deficit Rainfall Using Herbst's Approach

### 3.4.1 Model Description

Herbst's et al (1966) evolved a new method of drought analysis using monthly rainfall data, whereby it was possible to determine the duration and intensity of droughts and their months of onset and termination. The model uses the following steps to calculate indices to evaluate onset and termination of droughts.

### A. Calculation of mean monthly rainfall, MMR

From the long record of monthly rainfall, the mean rainfall for all the months (i.e. mean monthly rainfall, MMR) is calculated:

$$MMR(J) = \sum_{1=1}^{NYR} \frac{RF(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J})}{NYR}$$

Where; MMR = Mean monthly rainfall; RF = Rainfall; NYR = Number of years of record and Suffix I and J denote years and months respectively.

### B. Calculation of mean annual precipitation (MAP)

Mean annual precipitation (MAP) is calculated for entire period of record.

$$MAP = \sum_{J=1}^{NMN} MMR(J) \qquad (2)$$

## C. Calculation of Effective Rainfall

For calculation of drought criteria, the carry over effects from month to month is considered. For this purpose, the mean monthly rainfall for a month, say (J) is subtracted from the actual rainfall for that month (J) so that deficit or excess for that month is obtained. This deficit or excess is multiplied by a 'weighting factor' for the next month (J+1) and the product whether negative or positive, is added algebraically to the rainfall figure of that month (J+1). This sum becomes the 'Effective rainfall' (ER) for that month (J+1).

The 'weighting factor' for a month used to calculate carryover effects is derived from an empirical formula as suggested by Herbst et al (1966).

$$W(J) = 0.1 * [1 + -----] ...(3)$$

$$MAP/12$$

Where; W(J) = weighting factor for  $j^{th}$  month. The carry over for  $j^{th}$  month and corresponding effective rainfall is calculated as under:

$$CO(I,J) = ER(I,J-1) - MMR(J-1) \dots (4)$$

$$ER(I,J) = RF(I,J) + CO(I,J) * W(J)$$
 ...(5)

Where; CO = Carry over factor. For the first month of first year of record, the effective rainfall has been assumed as equal to monthly rainfall. Thus for I = 1 and J = 1,

$$ER(1,1) = RF(1,1)$$
 ...(6)

There upon the effective rainfall for each month of every year was calculated by allowing for the carry over effect of a surplus or deficit of rainfall in the preceding month. The process is continued to obtain the effective monthly rainfall for the full period of record.

### D. Calculation of mean annual deficit

The difference of effective rainfall for a month and 'Mean Monthly Rainfall' for that month is obtained for full period of record and termed as 'Difference'.

$$DIFF(I,J) = ER(I,J) - MMR(J) \qquad ...(7)$$

These 'differences' for various months of the record, if greater than or equal to zero, were reported as zero. Thus the 'Mean Monthly Deficits (MMD)' were based not only on those months in which a negative difference occurred, for positive differences (i.e., negative deficits) were taken as zero and thus also included in the computation.

MD 
$$(I,J) = 0.0$$
; for DIFF  $(I,J) > 0.0$  ...(8)

$$MD(I,J) = DIFF(I,J)$$
; for DIFF (I,J) < 0.0 ...(9)

In this way 'Mean Monthly Deficit' for each month of every year was calculated:

$$MMD(J) = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \\ J=1 \end{bmatrix} MD(I,J) + 1/NYR \qquad ...(10)$$

The summation of Mean Monthly Deficits yields, Mean annual deficit (MAD) or,

$$MAD = \sum_{J}^{NYR} MMD(J) \qquad ...(11)$$

Where; MD = Monthly deficits or monthly differences; MMD = Mean monthly deficit; MAD = Mean annual deficit.

Mean annual deficit is used in testing for onset and termination of drought. The analysis includes establishment of another set of termination drought. This includes maximum parameters used for test of start and termination drought. This includes maximum of Mean Monthly Rainfall (MMR), the sum of two highest values of mean monthly rainfall, the sum of three highest values of mean monthly rainfall and so on up to the sum of mean monthly rainfall and so on up to the sum of mean monthly rainfall of all the months yielding a value equal to mean annual rainfall.

## E Test to Determine onset of drought

From the given record, a month with a negative difference is found, while inspecting delete negative difference, the following two cases may arise.

Case (A) Delete negative difference < MMMR Case (B) Delete negative difference > MMMR

# Case (A) Delete negative difference < MMMR

If delete negative difference is less than MMMR, the difference of the next month is inspected and if negative is added to the negative difference of the previous month and compared with the second values on the sliding scale, (MMMR + x). If sum of these two delete negative difference exceeds (MMMR + x), the drought is deemed to have started from the previous month. In this manner the absolute value of sum of all negative differences occurring from the first month over a period of a year is tested sequentially against the twelve values of the sliding scale. If at any time the summed value of delete negative difference from the first to the J , month exceeds the value MMMR+(J-1)x, drought is deemed to have started from the first month.

## Case (B) Delete negative difference > MMR

In this case when the delete negative difference is greater than or equal to MMMR, the drought is deemed to have stated from this month.

## F Tests to determine the termination of drought

Once the start of the drought is found, the program begins to search for a month with a positive difference. A precondition to be satisfied is that at least one of the two months following the initial month with a positive difference should also have a positive difference. Once this condition is met. then only the initial month is qualified for further testing for termination of drought. Thus for further testing for termination of drought a precondition to be satisfied is that two consecutive months should have positive difference.

Once this condition is met, the following two tests are carried out for testing for termination of drought:

- i) In this test the differences are algebraically summed up from the month, the drought started to the month of the termination test. If the sum became positive, the drought is deemed to have terminated otherwise second test is carried out for testing of termination.
- ii) The second tests comprises of ten sequential tests. Firstly the actual rainfall values from the first to the third month of testing are summed up and compared with the sum of three highest values of mean monthly rainfall. If the sum of actual rainfall is higher the drought is considered to have been terminated. If the sum of actual rainfall is not exceeded, then the sum of actual rainfall of first four month is compared with the sum of the four highest values of mean monthly rainfall, and so on should the drought not yet have been terminated, up to a comparison of the sum of the rainfall of the rainfall of the twelve months following and including the month from which the test commenced, with the mean annual rainfall. By this stage either the drought had been terminated, in which case it was deemed to have ended in the month from which the multiple test had been initiated or the drought conditions prevailed over this period and test for the termination recommenced at the first month with a positive difference following that from which the previous unsuccessful test had proceeded.

Once a termination had occurred testing for the start of the next drought began at the first month with a negative difference following the month in which the drought ended.

### (G) Evaluation of drought index

Drought intensity is evaluated by dividing the total deficits beyond the monthly mean deficit for the period of drought (D) by the sum of the mean monthly deficits for the same period.

Where; IDST = Month of start of drought; IDEND = Month of termination of drought.

In above equation if nominator is less than 0.0 (i.e., negative), then nominator is equalled to zero for calculation of drought intensity.

Severity Index: Severity Index is defined as product of drought intensity and drought duration

$$SI = I \times D \qquad \dots (13)$$

This analysis has been performed for six selected districts of state Karnataka. Monthly rainfall data for period 1951-1988 of raingauge station located at five selected taluk headquarters of each district have been used for analysis. A computer programme using the above approach was used for the analysis. The analysis has yielded in the distinct spells of drought along with monthly and the overall intensity of drought for all the spells. The details of the onset, termination, duration and intensity of the district as a whole for all the districts are reported in Figures 3.6. The graphical representations of the drought spells with intensity for all districts are shown in Figures 3.6. The following inferences can be drawn from the analysis.

The analysis shows that all the districts experienced 6-13 drought spells of the intensity ranging from 0.47 to 1.83 during the period. This shows that the districts are drought prone. Over the entire period of analysis the highest drought duration was of 122 months and drought intensity was 1.06 respectively was observed during 1962 to 1972 in Gulburga district. All the six districts faced successive drought years in continuation from 1984 to 1987 leading to disastrous drought situation in these districts. It is clear from the analysis that in the year 1988 all the six districts do not show any drought spell indicating that the year was not a drought year which have also been indicated by other analysis of rainfall data.

The approach has yielded comparable results of drought analysis and has further scope for improvement taking into account the revision of monthly weightage factors keeping in view the agriculturally more important months in the state.

### 3.5 Dry Spell Analysis

Agriculture is the worst sufferer of droughts as the ultimate effects of drought results in partial or total crop failure. Out of the various growing stages of crops, some are sensitive to moisture stress known as critical growing stages. Agricultural droughts are the result of occurrence of dry spells specially during critical growth stages of crops. Therefore the analysis of dry spells (\geq 2 weeks) within monsoon season has importance specially for rain fed agriculture in the country. Therefore, an attempt has been made to identify the dry spells of two or more than two weeks duration during monsoon period (4th June to 15th September) by selecting one taluk from each of the six districts of state Karnataka.

The criteria for selection of dry spell is that the daily rainfall should be less than or equal to 5 mm (as a day is




FIG.3.6: Overall average and monthly intensity of drought.




FIG. 3.6: Overall average and monthly intensity of drought.




FIG.3.6: Overall average and monthly intensity of drought.




FIG. 3.6: Overall average and monthly intensity of drought.




FIG. 3.6: Overall average and monthly intensity of drought.




FIG.3.6: Overall average and monthly intensity of drought.

assumed as rainy day if daily rainfall exceeds 5 mm) occurring continuously for atleast two weeks (i.e. 14 days) or more. For counting number of spells the start of monsoon season has been assumed from fourth June of (beginning of 23rd standard week) every year. The duration and time of occurrence and number of such dry spells for all the 6 districts of state Karnataka have been presented in Appendix III-(A). The number of dry spells have been counted starting from the monsoon season of 1981 to 1989. The study has been carried out for one taluk in each district.

For statistical analysis, the duration of dry spells were represented as range (in days) and no. of spells falling in that range were counted. The number of spells falling in various ranges of duration of spells were represented as percentage of total no. of spells occurring from 1981-1988 and cumulative percentage was obtained starting from the maximum duration of dry spell group downwards adding successive percentage (Appendix III-(B)). The probability curves have been drawn showing range of duration of dry spells on the abscissa and cumulative percentage of no. of spells as ordinates. The plots are shown in Figure 3.7. Probability distribution graphs as shown in figure have been used to read the values of duration of dry spells (in days) at 75% probability level and have been given in Table 3.5. It can be observed from the table that at 75% probability, the duration of dry spell ranges from 21-28 days for all the six taluks selected for all the six districts respectively.

Table 3.5: Range of duration of dry spells for 75% probability.

| S.Ne | Taluk<br>(Dist |            | State     | At 75% Probability,<br>duration of dry spells<br>(in days) |
|------|----------------|------------|-----------|--|
| 1.   | Belgaum        | (Belgaum)  | Karnataka | 21-28  |
| 2.   | Bijapur        | (Bijapur)  | -do-      | 21-28  |
| 3.   | Gulburga       | (Gulburga) | -do-      | 21-28  |
| 4.   | Raichur        | (Raichur)  | -do-      | 21-28  |
| 5.   | Bellary        | (Bellary)  | -do-      | 21-28  |
| 6.   | Dharwar        | (Dharwar)  | -do-      | 21-28  |

This analysis is specially important from the view point of agriculture as it can give some idea about likelihood of dry spells during monsoon period based on which alternate arrangements can be made for providing water during critical growth stages to avoid hazardous effects on crop yields, especially in rain fed agriculture.




Fig. 3. 7: Probability Distribution of Ory Spells

### 4.1 General

The main objective of groundwater management is to ensure that groundwater will be available at an appropriate time and in an appropriate quantity and quality to meet the most important demands of society. The measurement of groundwater levels and their evaluation can play an important role in management of this underground resource The fluctuations of water table reflect the water. effects of infiltration, precipitation and discharge groundwater to streams and lakes or withdrawal of water from wells. Usually the change in ground water storage is a seasonal phenomenon. However, during the period of scarcity of rains or droughts, more dependence comes on ground water storages and steep decline in groundwater levels experienced. Because of improper management groundwater aquifers after development, numerous undesirable consequences such as the depletion of aquifers groundwater mining emerge, especially during drought years. Statistics recently compiled on the use of ground water and surface water show that in a number of states ground water is being over exploited in certain pockets resulting in a fall in the water table. During droughts, due to deficiency of rainfall and higher rate of evapotranspiration the demand for irrigation gets enhanced, thereby the water level goes down. This results in increased use of energy for pumping water from greater depths involving higher expenditure. As a policy, the withdrawal of groundwater should be restricted to average annual recharge. This will conserve water from over exploitation during drought periods.

Therefore, there is a long standing need to better understand the relationship between precipitation and groundwater levels. The relationship can be developed by carrying out statistical analysis of precipitation data and well level observations. Besides, information regarding well, abstractions should be available for evaluating effects on water table only due to reduced precipitation.

In order to see the effects of scarce rainfall as experienced during three successive drought and non drought years (1985-1989) on groundwater regime, statistical analysis of groundwater level data has been carried out. In the present analysis of state Karnataka, the groundwater level analysis was restricted to only four districts namely Bijapur, Belgaum, Gulbarga and Raichur. The analysis for Dharwar and Bellary could not be done due to lack of data. Due to non-availability of abstraction data, the effects of withdrawal could not be introduced in the analysis.

### 4.2 Ground Water Level Analysis

The data concerning groundwater level fluctuations were collected in respect of observation wells in the four districts, namely Belgaum, Bijapur, Gulbarga, and Raichur of state Karnataka. The informations regarding period of data used, and of observation wells and the source of data is given in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1: Status of groundwater data of state Karnataka.

| Sl.<br>No. | Name of districts | Data available (for the years) | No. of<br>tak | wells<br>en | Source of availabilit |       |
|------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------|
| 1.         | Belgaum           | 1976-89                        | 6             | State       | Groundwater           | Board |
| 2.         | Bijapur           | 1974-89                        | 7             |             | -do-                  | 20414 |
| 3.         | Gulburga          | 1975-89                        | 10            |             | -do-                  |       |
| 4.         | Raichur           | 1975-89                        | 8             |             | -do-                  |       |

As is evident from Table 4.1, about 6-10 wells were chosen in each district for evaluating impacts on groundwater regime. It was kept in mind that these wells are evenly distributed within the district. The locations of the wells on the district map have already been shown in the figures presented in chapter 2.


The groundwater level analysis was attempted with the help of seasonal pre and post monsoon data. Appendix IV-1, gives the details of various observation wells spread over 4 selected drought prone districts of Karnataka state with their latitude and longitude. The analysis has been carried out for ground water level data up to 1989.

The water levels in the wells have been calculated with respect to mean sea level and for each district average ground water level has been calculated using Thiessen polygon method. The Thiessen weight of all wells considered in each district was established and groundwater level calculated with respect to mean sea level, multiplied by Thiessen weight, gave average ground water level for the district.


### 4.3 Inferences

The trend of pre and post monsoon water table in respect of four districts are shown in Figures. 4.1.

The analysis of ground water levels based on the water-table fluctuation data of past 12-14 years has yielded in knowing the groundwater level trends (pre & post) as a result of seasonal rainfall departure. It is evident from the Figures 4.1, that average trends of seasonal ground water levels in the three districts namely Belgaum, Bijapur and Gulbarga are declining, where as it is rising in case of Raichoor district. The continuous decline in water table is certainly attributed to failure of monsoon due to which the draft of ground water also gets increased because of increase in demand. The rising trend of water table as found in Raichoor can be attributed to the positive groundwater imbalances created by surface water irrigation projects. Better analysis to correlate rainfall failure and groundwater regime can be done by taking into account the well abstraction data, which has not been done in the present case due to non-availability of relevant data.



price and october) have less plotted against time. The weeking search to the veeking search to the search search of the search search to the search search to the search s




Flg.4.1:Groundwater level fluctuation for pre and post monsoon seasons.

### 5.0 ANALYSIS OF RESERVOIR STORAGE

In order to illustrate the impact of failure of monsoon on storage reservoirs, an attempt has been made to compare the reservoir level in Ghatprabha and Tungabhadra reservoirs of the state Karnataka. For this purpose, the live storages & corresponding reservoir level in some selected months (May, August and October) have been plotted against time. The weekly reservoir level data as supplied by Central Water Commission (CWC) from 1985-1989 have been used for this analysis. Figures 5.1 shows the position of storages during 1985 to 1989 in the reservoir. The following inferences which can be drawn from the Figure 5.1 are as below:

Both the reservoirs have shown less storage value during year 1987 as compared to previous three years and years 1988 and 1989. The storages by the end of Nov. in Tungabhadra reservoir during 1987 was 6.3% of previous year storage for the same period. In case of Ghatprabha the storage by Nov.87 57% was of previous year storage. This indicates that the reservoir storages was affected in year 1987 as compared to the other years.







Fig. 5.1 Reservoir level with time and the relationship between reservoir level and live storage.



Figs.1: Reservoir level with time and the relationship between reservoir level and live storage.

- 1. The present report gives analysis of data to assess impacts of droughts in six selected districts of Karnataka state namely Belgaum, Bijapur, Bellary, Raichur, Dharwar & Gulbarga. The analysis of data included rainfall analysis, groundwater analysis and reservoir level and storage analysis.
- 2. The seasonal rainfall departure analysis of year 1989 indicated for most of the districts, the departure in seasonal rainfall has been on negative side for five out of six districts. Only in case of district Bijapur the deficiency in rainfall on seasonal basis has not been observed.
- 3. Analysis of monthly rainfall data for all the six districts for the water year 1988-89 has been done by comparison by the monthly normal rainfall values. It has been observed Table 3.3 that during the months of June 89, Belgaum, Bijapur, Gulbarga and Bellary observed deficiency rainfall from monthly consideration. In the months of July, August and September 1989, the average rainfall is higher than the normal, indicates that there is no deficiency of rain fall. Combining the results of June to September, indicates that the monsoon started in the month of July.
- Probability analysis of annual rainfall data has done using the available from 1901-89. In order to find the group range of rainfall like to occur in the districts of the probability. It has been found that for the districts of Bijapur, Raichoor and Bellary this range works out to be 500-600 mm. While for other Gulbarga and Dharwar districts, it is in the range of 600-700 mm and Belgaum stands high in order of 700-800. This analysis has also yielded values of probability of occurrences of 75% annual rainfall in the district. It has been found that all the districts had the probability level more than 80% which means that for less than 20 years out of years, the rainfall is likely to be less than 75% of the normal in these districts. The district of Belgaum, Bijapur, Gulburga, Raichur, Bellary & Dharwar experienced 75% of normal rainfall in 8, 17, 12, 10, 8 and 2 percent of respectively, indicating that all the six district are less drought prone based on this analysis as per IMD criteria.
- 5. Analysis of monthly rainfall data using Herbst's Approach has been carried out to identify the drought spells for which data of year 1951-89 have been used. It was observed that all districts recorded drought spells during the period 1984-89. However, the district of Dharwar experienced longest duration of drought spell while Bellary seemed to have experienced shortest spell of drought as per this analysis. In general, 6-13 drought spells were observed in these district with Gulburga experiencing minimum no. of drought spells.
- 6. Analysis of rainfall data for dry spell identification has been carried out, and duration of likely dry spells at 75% of probability has been worked out. It was found that most of the districts had 75% probability of having a dry spell of the duration of 21-28 days. A dry spell was assumed as a period in which daily rainfall is less than or equal to 5 mm occurring continuously for two weeks.

7. Groundwater analysis for the districts was for evaluateing the impacts of drought on groundwater regime. The analysis was restricted for four districts namely Belgaum, Bijapur, Bellary, Raichur and Gulburga, in view of data availability studies. It was observed that for the districts of Belgaum, Bijapur, Gulbarga and Raichur, the post monsoon water levels were found to show less rate of decline and in the case of Raichoor it was found increasing. It can be said that even due to rainfall deficiency, the ground water level did not undergo a significant change.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The scientist and scientific staff of drought studies division are extremely thankful to Dr. Satish Chandra, Director, National Institute of Hydrology for his valuable guidance, constant encouragement and constructive criticism during the course of the study.

Grateful thanks are also due to working group members of drought studies division of the Institute, who have provided constructive suggestions during the course of meetings for carrying out the study. Sincere thanks are also to Dr. G C Mishra, Scientist 'F' and Technical Coordinator of the division, who has rendered valuable guidance during the study. It is also to record sincere gratitude to all Scientists and Scientific staff of the Institute who have contributed to build the infrastructure of data and programmes to carry out the analysis of the computer.

The authors are also grateful to the Scientists and Scientific staff who have rendered their services in collecting necessary data from field agencies.

The authors also wish to express sincere thanks to all central and state government organisation who have provided necessary data and extended all possible help for carrying out the study. Last but not the least, sincere thanks are due to all others who directly or indirectly helped to make this team effort successful.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Central Water Commission (1982), 'Report on Identification of Drought Prone Areas for 99 districts', New Delhi.
- 2. Herbst, pH, D.B. Bredenkamp and M.H.G. Barker (1966), 'A Technique for the evaluation of Drought from Rainfall Data' Journal of Hydrology, 4, pp. 264-272.
- 3. Hydrological Aspects of Drought 1985-86 A case, study prepared by NIH (CS-21).
- 4. 'Hydrological Aspects of Droughts (1986-87)' A case study prepared by NIH (CS-24).
- 5. Institute of Hydrology, Wallingford, OXON, (1980) Research Report, Low Flow Studies.
- 6. Linsley, R.K. Kohler, M.A. and Paulhus, J.L.H., Hydrology for Engineers 1975, Second Edition, McGraw Hill Publications.
- 7. McMohan T.A. and Arenas A.D. (1982) Method of Computation of Low stream flow, UNESCO, Paris.
- 8. Mistry, J.F., and Goswami M.R., (1988), Paper on "Need for Conjunctive Use and Surface Groundwater legislation in Drought Prone Areas". Proc. of the National Seminar on 'Water Conservation and Management in Drought', Sept. 9-10, 1988, New Delhi, organised by IAH.
- 9. Sampath, T.V. (1989), 'Effect of Drought on Indian Agriculture' paper presented in International Symposium on Ground Water Resources Management in Drought Prone Areas,' 27 Nov. to 1 Dec. 1990, New Delhi.
- 10. Singh, R.D. (1987) Hydrological Aspects of Drought R.N. 37, National Institute of Hydrology.
- 11. Soils of India and their Management, Book published by The Fertiliser Assoc. of India, New Delhi, 1985.
- 12. Statistical Abstract, Directorate of Eco. & Statistics, Bangalore, 1984.
- 13. Water Resources of India, Central Water Commission (CWC), 1988.
- 14. Upadhyaya, D.S. & Gupta, D.K. (1989), 'Droughts in India' A historical review Paper presented in International Symposium on Ground Water Resources Management in Drought Prone Areas', 27 Nov. to i Dec. , New Delhi.

# LIST OF OFFICES AND PLACES FROM WHERE DATA AND INFORMATION WERE COLLECTED

### KARNATAKA

PLACE

Bengalore

Director, Deptt. of Mines and Geology, Govt. of Karnataka.

Director, C.G.W.B., Southwestern Region

Director, DPAP/Rural Development

Chief Engineer, W.R.D.O.

Director, Bureau of Economics & Statistics

Chief Engineer, Minor Irrigation

Director, Deptt. of Revenue

Director, Deptt. of Agriculture, Govt. of Karnataka

Directorate of Survey Settlement & Land Records

Directorate of State, Groundwater Cell, R.C. Road

C.E., Public Health Engg. & PWD, Govt. of Karnataka Central Water Commission

Kolar

E.E., Minor Irrigation
Deputy Commissioner (Special)
DRDA, Soil Conservation Deptt.
Irrigation Deptt.

Tumkur

E.E., Minor
Deputy Commissioner
DRDA, Soil Conservation Deptt.
Irrigation Deptt.

Chitradurga

E.E., Minor Irrigation Incharge of the DPAP Projects DRDA, Soil Conservation Deptt. Irrigation Deptt.

Belgaum

E.E., Minor Irrigation Incharge of the DPAP Projects DRDA, Soil Conservation Deptt. Irrigation Deptt. Asstt.Geologist, SGWC, Belgaum E.E., Minor Irrigation
DRDA, Soil Conservation Deptt.

Irrigation Deptt.

Chief Engineer, W.R.D.D.

Directorate of State . Groundwater Cell.

their Margor transplies from the server to be the server to be the server with the server server as the server we have a server melanum to the server mela

Duration and Number of Dry Spells during Monsoon, (4th June to 15th Sept.)

Belgaum (Belgaum)

| First day<br>of monsoon | Date of<br>beginning of<br>dry spell | Duration of dry<br>spells (2 weeks<br>in days) | Total no. of dry spells in a year |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1 33                    | 2                                    | 3  | 4                                 |
| 5.6.81                  | · -                                  | _  |                                   |
| 14.6.82                 | 31.8.82                              | 16   | 1                                 |
| 16.6.83                 | 3.7.83                               | 15 🕟   | 2                                 |
|                         | 1.9.83                               | 14   | 2                                 |
| 9.6.84                  | <u>-</u>                             | -  | •                                 |
| 10.6.85                 | 26.8.85                              | 21   | 1                                 |
| 8.6.86                  | 22.8.86                              | 26   | 1                                 |
| 9.6.87                  | 30.8.87                              | 17   | 1                                 |
| 6.6.88                  | ••                                   | -  |                                   |
|                         |                                      |  | 6                                 |

Bijapur (Bijapur)

| 1              | 2       | 3  | 4  |
|----------------|---------|----|----|
| 6.6.81         | 18.7.81 | 34 | 2  |
|                | 6.8.81  | 29 |    |
| 7.6.82         | 21.6.82 | 23 | 2  |
|                | 30.8.82 | 17 |    |
| 6.6.83         | 14.6.83 | 14 | 3  |
|                | 15.7.83 | 23 |    |
|                | 9.7.83  | 24 |    |
| 9.6.84         | 10.6.84 | 33 | 2  |
|                | 3.8.84  | 30 | 4  |
| 4.6.85         | 27.6.85 | 23 | 2  |
|                | 26.7.85 | 19 |    |
| 4.6.8 <b>6</b> | 18.6.86 | 26 | 2  |
|                | 23.7.86 | 55 | _  |
| 4.6.87         | 5.6.87  | 21 | 3. |
|                | 27.6.87 | 33 | •  |
|                | 18.8.87 | 29 |    |
| 6.6.88         | -       | -  | -  |
|                |         |    |    |
|                |         |    | 16 |

## Gulburga (Gulburga)

| 1           | 2               | 3                    |              |
|-------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|
| <del></del> |                 |                      |              |
| 4.6.81      | 6.7.81          | 1.7                  | 2:           |
|             | 15.8.81         | 18                   | 2            |
| 17.6.82     | 18.6.32         | $\tilde{1}\tilde{6}$ | 2            |
|             | 9.8.82          | 32                   | £            |
| 5.6.83      | <b>25.6.</b> 85 | 17                   | •            |
| 11.6.84     | 18.6.84         | ĪĒ                   | 2            |
|             | 9.6.84          | 20                   |              |
| 6.6.85      | 19.6.85         | 3.0                  | į            |
| 4.6.86      | 22.6.86         | $2\overline{5}$      | 2            |
|             | 14.8.36         | 25                   | <b>&amp;</b> |
| 15.6.87     | 18.7.87         | 20                   | ŀ            |
| 4.7.88      | •               |                      |              |
| ĸ           |                 |                      | 1 )          |

## Raichur (Raichur)

| 1       |                 | 3        | 4       |
|---------|-----------------|----------|---------|
| 7.6.81  | 8.7.81          | 15       | ı       |
| 22.6.82 | 4.6.82          | 81       |         |
|         | 5.8.82          | 1.8      |         |
|         | 27.8.82         | 19       |         |
| 6.6.83  | -               | -        | <u></u> |
| 10.6.84 | 6.8.84          | 36       | í       |
| 15,6.85 | 1.7.85          | l 8      | 3       |
|         | 31.7.85         | 14       | •       |
|         | 15.8.85         | 25       |         |
| .986    | date not avail. | able     |         |
| 987     | date not avail: | able     |         |
| 9.6.88  | -               | <u>-</u> | •       |
|         | s.              |          |         |
|         |                 | ····     | 3       |

# Dharwar (Dharwar)

| Ţ       | 2       | 3            | 4 |
|---------|---------|--------------|---|
| 4.6.81  |         | _            |   |
| 8.6.82  | 21.6.82 | 25           | 2 |
|         | 24.8.82 | 3 <b>3</b> * |   |
| 13.6.83 | 2.7.83  | 15           | 2 |
|         | 26.7.83 | 15           |   |
| 12.6.84 | 3.8.84  | 44           | i |
| 7.6.85  | _       | <b></b>      | - |
| 5.6.86  | 1.7.86  | 14           | 2 |
| -       | 14.8.86 | 33           |   |
| 17.6.87 | 10.7.87 | 27           | 2 |
|         | 26.8.87 | 20           |   |
| 9.6.88  | ÷       | <del></del>  | • |
|         |         |              | 9 |

## Bellary (Bellary)

| 1      | 2       | 3    | 4   |
|--------|---------|------|-----|
| 7.6.81 | 18.6.81 | 33   | . 2 |
|        | 3.8.81  | 23   |     |
| 3.6.82 | 13.6.82 | 26   | 2   |
|        | 3.8.82  | 43   |     |
| 1.6.83 | 26.6.83 | 1.5  | 2   |
| 1      | 26.7.83 | 17   |     |
| 3.6.84 | 4.6.84  | 14   | 4   |
|        | 27.6.84 | 16   |     |
|        | 3.8.84  | 20   |     |
|        | 1.9.84  | 15   |     |
| .6.85  | 10.6.85 | 42   | 2   |
|        | 29.7.85 | 41   |     |
| 1.6.86 | 17.6.86 | 16   | 3   |
|        | 4.7.86  | 28   |     |
|        | 6.8.86  | 35   |     |
| 4.6.87 | 4.6.87  | 20   | 3   |
|        | 29.6.87 | 37   |     |
|        | 16.8.87 | 20 \ |     |
| 3.7.88 | 4.6.88  | 37   | 2   |
|        | 21.7.88 | 25   |     |
| •      | ,       |      | 2:0 |

APPENDIX III-(B)

Probability Analysis of Dry Spells.

| Taluk of (Distt.)      | Class Interval                  | No. of<br>Spells | Percentage                   | Cumulative<br>Probability     |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Belgaum<br>(Belgaum)   | 14-21<br>22-28<br>29-35         | 5 ·<br>1 ·       | 83.3<br>16.6                 | 100.0                         |
|                        | > 35                            | 6                | -                            | -                             |
| Bijapur<br>(Bijapur)   | 14-21<br>22-28<br>29-35<br>> 35 | 4<br>5<br>4<br>3 | 25.0<br>31.3<br>25.0<br>18.8 | 100.0<br>75.1<br>43.8<br>18.8 |
|                        |                                 | 16               | •                            |                               |
| Gulburga<br>(Gulburga) | 14-21<br>22-28<br>29-35<br>> 35 | 7<br>2<br>2      | 63.6<br>18.2<br>18.2         | 100.0<br>36.4<br>18.2         |
|                        | . *                             | II.              |                              |                               |
| Raichur<br>(Raichur    | 14-21<br>22-28<br>29-35<br>> 35 | 6<br>1<br>-      | 75.0<br>12.5                 | 100.0<br>25.0<br>12.5<br>12.5 |
|                        |                                 | 8                |                              |                               |
| Bellary<br>(Bellary)   | 14-21 ' 22-28 29-35 > 35        | 8<br>5<br>2<br>5 | 40.0<br>25.0<br>10.0<br>25.0 | 100.0<br>85.0<br>35.0<br>25.0 |
|                        |                                 | 18               | :                            |                               |
| Dharwar<br>(Dharwar)   | 14-21<br>22-28<br>29-35<br>> 35 | 4<br>2<br>2<br>1 | 44.4<br>22.2<br>22.2<br>11.1 | 100.0<br>55.5<br>33.3<br>11.1 |
|                        |                                 | 9                |                              |                               |

## LIST OF OBSERVATION WELLS

## STATE-KARNATAKA

## DISTT-BIJAPUR

| SL.<br>NO. | WELL<br>NO. | WELL NAME  |    | LΑ | Γ. | F  | NG | •  | R.L.OF<br>M.P.(Mts) | ARBA IMPLUINCED<br>BY WBLL(Sq.Km.) | AREA<br>WBIGHT |
|------------|-------------|------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|---------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| ı,         |             | BADANI     | 15 | 54 | 00 | 75 | 37 | 00 | 593.95              | 2487                               | 0.1457         |
| 2.         |             | BILGI      | 16 | 26 | 51 | 75 | 36 | 55 | 555.75              | 3393                               | 0.1988         |
| 3.         |             | MALAPUR    | 16 | 21 | 26 | 75 | 16 | 34 | 561.00              | 1852                               | 0.1085         |
| 4.         | •           | KUDDEBBHAL | 16 | 20 | 14 | 76 | 08 | 06 | 548.10              | 2692                               | 0.1577         |
| 5.         |             | SHIVANGI   | 18 | 18 | 47 | 75 | 59 | 12 | 570.95              | 3148                               | 0.1844         |
| 6.         |             | RUNGUND    | 16 | 03 | 18 | 76 | 03 | 26 | 510.70              | 2385                               | 0.1397         |
| 7.         |             | RUGI       |    |    | 15 | 75 | 51 | 00 | 458.90              | 1113                               | 0.0652         |

## STATE-EARNATAEA

## DISTT-BELGAUN

| SL.<br>NO. | WBLL<br>NO. | ABLE NYKB  |    | LA | r. | L  | ONG | •  | R.L.OP<br>M.P.(Mts) | ARBA INPLUINCED<br>BY WELL(Sq.En.) | AREA<br>Weight |
|------------|-------------|------------|----|----|----|----|-----|----|---------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1.         | <del></del> | IMARTA     | 16 | 43 | 45 | 75 | 03  | 37 | 564.00              | 1529.51                            | 0.1136         |
| 2.         |             | CHIKKODI   | 16 | 25 | 25 | 74 | .35 | 25 | 643.00              | 1721.70                            | 0.1279         |
| 3.         |             | ANKALAGI   | 16 | 01 | 30 | 74 | 41  | 45 | 670.00              | 2824.23                            | 0.2098         |
| 4.         |             | LONDA      | 15 | 26 | 58 | 74 | 29  | 48 | 610.00              | 3038.10                            | 0.2257         |
| 5.         |             | RAIBHAG    | 15 | 29 | 40 | 14 | 46  | 35 | 664.00              | 1835.85                            | 0.1364         |
| 6.         |             | ITTAN 18AN | 15 | 43 | 55 | 75 | 01  | 30 | 655.00              | 2511.41                            | 0.1366         |

STATE-KARNATAKA

## DISTT-RAICHUR

| SL. WELL<br>NO. NO. | <br>WELL HANE: | LAT.  |      | LONG. |    |    | R.L.OF<br>M.P.(Mts) | AREA IMPLUINCED BY WELL(Sq.Im.) | ARBA<br>WBIGHT |
|---------------------|----------------|-------|------|-------|----|----|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| 1.                  | <br>DEODURGA   | 16 2  | 5 00 | 76    | 56 | 30 | 440.00              | 1751                            | 0.1250         |
| 2.                  | RAICHUR        | 16 1  | 3 00 | 71    | 21 | 30 | 416.00              | 2335                            | 0.1667         |
| 3.                  | LINGASUGUR     | 16 0  | 3 30 | 76    | 31 | 30 | 530.00              | - 1985                          | 0.1417         |
| ۱.                  | RUSHTAGI       | 15 4  | 30   | 76    | 11 | 10 | 672.00              | 1401                            | 0.1000         |
| 5.                  | TURVIHAL       | 15 4  | 6 15 | 76    | 36 | 00 | 420.00              | 2685                            | 0.1917         |
| 6.                  | YELBURGA       | 15 3  | 7 00 | 76    | 10 | 00 | 639.00              | 1517                            | 0.1083         |
| 7,                  | GANGAUATHI     | 15 2  | 00   | 76    | 31 | 30 | 420.00              | 1050                            | 0.0150         |
| ₿.                  | HOSARALLI      | 15 11 | 30   | 76    | 19 | 00 | 470.00              | 1283.                           | 0.0916         |

## STATE-KARNATAKA

## DISTT-GULBERGA

| SL.<br>NO. | WELL<br>No. | VBLL NAMB<br>AFZALPUR | LAT. |    |    | LONG. |    |    | R.L.OF -<br>N.P.(Mts) | ARBA INFLUINCED<br>BY WELL(Sq.Em.) | AREA<br>WEIGHT |
|------------|-------------|-----------------------|------|----|----|-------|----|----|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
|            |             |                       | 17   | 16 | 30 | 26    | 13 | 00 | 399.00                | 915                                | 0.0566         |
| 2.         |             | ALAND                 | 17   | 00 | 33 | 76    | 34 | 30 | 504.00                | 2068                               | 0.1279         |
| 3.         |             | CHINCHOLI             | 17   | 27 | 50 | 77    | 25 | 30 | 409.00                | 1513                               | 0.0936         |
| ۱.         |             | CHITTAPUR             | 17   | 07 | 30 | 11    | 05 | 00 | 424.00                | 818                                | 0.0506         |
| 5.         |             | GULBERGA              | 17   | 20 | 30 | 76    | 49 | 30 | 457.50                | 2015                               | 0.1246         |
| 6.         |             | JBWARGI               | 17   | 00 | 30 | 76    | 47 | 00 | 415.00                | 1764                               | 0.1091         |
| 7.         |             | SEDAN                 | 17   | 10 | 45 | 77    | 17 | 38 | 430.00                | 1030                               | 0.0637         |
| ₿.         |             | SHAHPUR               | 16   | 42 | 00 | 16    | 50 | 00 | 410.00                | 1499                               | 0.0927         |
| ₿.         |             | KADVAR                | 16   | 36 | 30 | 76    | 29 | 30 | 500.00                | 1799                               | 0.1113         |
| 10.        |             | YADGIR                | 16   | 15 | 00 | 77    | 07 | 00 | 367.00                | 2747                               | 0.1699         |

DIRECTOR : SATISH CHANDRA

TECHNICAL COORDINATOR : G. C. MISHRA

# STUDY GROUP

## Scientists:

1. AVINASH AGARWAL, Scientist 'C'

2. S. K. GOYAL, Scientist 'B'

3. R. P. PANDEY, Scientist 'B'

## Scientific staff:

1. YATVEER SINGH, RA