
Workshop on

APPLICATION OF SHE MODEL TO SUBBASINS OF RIVER NARMADA



Organised by National Institute of Hydrology

with cooperation of

Madhya Pradesh Irrigation Department

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF HYDROLOGY

ROORKEE, INDIA

Danish Hydraulic Institute

NERC WATER RESOURCE SYSTEMS RESEARCH UNIT UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE UPON TYNE, UK

SOGREAH, France

C. D. Kumar Scientist NIH, ROORKEE (U. P.)

PROJECT ALA 86/19

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

WORKSHOP ON

'APPLICATION OF SHE MODEL TO SUB-BASINS OF RIVER NARMADA'

BHOPAL (M.P.)

13-16 December 1989

Organised by:

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF HYDROLOGY
ROORKEE (U.P.)

With cooperation of:

MADHYA PRADESH IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT

National Institute of Hydrology, Roorkee, India Danish Hydraulic Institute, Denmark
NERC Water Resources Systems
Research Unit, University of
Newcastle Upon Tyne, U.K.
SOGREAH, France

WORKSHOP ON

'APPLICATION OF SHE MODEL TO SUB-BASINS OF RIVER NARMADA'

BHOPAL (M.P.)

13-16 DECEMBER 1989

| | CONTENTS | Page No. |
|-----|--|----------|
| | PREFACE | |
| PAR | | |
| 1. | INTRODUCTION TO THE PROJECT Dr. S M Seth, Project Coordinator, NIH | 1 |
| 2. | INTRODUCTION TO HYDROLOGICAL MODELLING Mr. B Storm, Consultant | 4 |
| | 1. Introduction | 4 |
| | 2. Definitions | 4 |
| | 2.1 Mathematical models | 4 |
| | 3. Classification of Hydrological Models | 6 |
| | 3.1 Black box or empirical models | 8 |
| | 3.2 Lumped conceptual models | 9 |
| | 3.3 Fully distributed, physically based models | 10 |
| | 4. Selection of Appropriate Model Type | 11 |
| | 5. References | 14 |
| 3. | INTRODUCTION TO THE SHE by Consultant Staff | 16 |
| | Introduction | 16 |
| | Raison D'etre of the SHE | 16 |
| | Historical Development of the SHE | 18 |
| | Initial development | 18 |
| | Model development | 19 |
| | The contemporary setting | 20 |
| | Philosophy of Application of a Physically Distributed Model | 22 |
| | Data provision | 22 |
| | Model operation | 24 |
| | Fields of application | 25 |
| | Conclusions | 27 |
| | Acknowledgements | 28 |
| | References | 28 |

| IN : | THE S | NTATION OF HYDROLOGICAL PROCESSES SHE Bathurst, Consultant | 30 |
|------|-------|--|----|
| 4.1 | Inte | erception and Evapotranspiration | 30 |
| | 1. | Interception | 30 |
| | | 1.1 Process of interception | 30 |
| | | 1.2 Factors affecting interception | 31 |
| | | 1.3 SHE interception model | 31 |
| | | 1.4 Limitations of the interception model | 32 |
| | 2. | Evapotranspiration | 33 |
| | | 2.1 Process of evapotranspiration | 33 |
| | | 2.2 Factors affecting evapotranspiration | 34 |
| | | 2.3 SHE evapotranspiration model | 38 |
| | | 2.4 Limitations of the evapotranspiration model | 40 |
| | 3. | Data Assembly for the Narmada Test Basins | 41 |
| | 4. | References | 44 |
| 4.2 | | osurface Flow B Storm, Consultant | 45 |
| | 1. | | 45 |
| | | 1.1 Introduction | 45 |
| | 2. | The soil water zone | 46 |
| | | 2.1 Unsaturated flow | 46 |
| | | 2.2 Soil physical properties | 47 |
| | | 2.3 Numerical solution | 48 |
| | 3. | The groundwater zone | 50 |
| | | 3.1 Flow in the saturated zone | 50 |
| | 4. | Subsurface flow in the SHE | 50 |
| 4.3 | | erland and Channel Flow J C Bathurst, Consultant | 52 |
| | 1. | Overland and channel flow | 52 |
| | | 1.1 Processes of overland flow | 52 |
| | | 1.2 Factors affecting overland flow | 54 |
| | | 1.3 Processes of channel flow | 54 |
| | | 1.4 Factors affecting channel flow | 55 |
| | | 1.5 SHE overland and channel flow model | 56 |
| | | 1.6 Limitations of the overland and channel flow model | 57 |

4.

| | | 2. Data Assembly for the Naramda Test Basins | 59 |
|-----|--------------|---|----|
| | 4.4 | Snowmelt Dr. J C Bathurst, Consultant | 61 |
| | | 1. Snowmelt | 61 |
| | *- | 1.1 Processes of snowmelt and snowpack evolution | 61 |
| | | 1.2 Factors affecting snowmelt | 62 |
| | | 1.3 SHE snowmelt model | 64 |
| | | 1.4 Limitations of the snowmelt model | 65 |
| | | 2. Data Assembly | 66 |
| 5. | | A ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING B Storm, Consultant | 67 |
| | 1. | Introduction | 67 |
| | 2. | Data Requirements of the SHE | 68 |
| | 3. | Data Handling Procedures | 69 |
| | | | |
| PAR | r II | | |
| 6. | APP | LICATION OF SHE TO NARMADA | 72 |
| | FOCUS BASINS | | |
| | 6.1 | Introduction Dr. S M Seth, Project Coordinator, NIH | 72 |
| | 6.2 | Experience with Data Collection Dr.S M Seth, Project Coordinator, NIH | 74 |
| | | 1. Data requirement | 74 |
| | | 2. Data collected | 76 |
| | 6.3 | Application to Kolar Basin Mr. S K Jain, Scientist 'C', NIH | 80 |
| | | 1. General description of Kolar river basin | 80 |
| | | 2. Hydrology of Kolar basin | 80 |
| | | 3. Data availability and processing | 81 |
| | | 4. SHE setup for Kolar basin | 83 |
| | | 5. Calibration of SHE for Kolar basin | 84 |
| | | 6. Validation of model | 86 |
| | | 7. Sensitivity analysis | 87 |
| | | 8. Conclusions | 92 |

| 6.4 | Application to Barna Basin Mr. R D Singh, Scientist 'C', NIH | 107 |
|-----|---|-----|
| | 1. General description of Barna river basin | 107 |
| | 2. Hydrology of Barna river basin | 107 |
| | 3. Data availability and processing | 110 |
| | 4. Setup for Barna basin | 113 |
| | 5. Calibration of SHE for Barna basin | 114 |
| | 6. Validation of model | 117 |
| | 7. Sensitivity analysis | 118 |
| | 8. Conclusions | 121 |
| 6.5 | Application to Sher Basin Mr. V K Lohani, Scientist 'C', NIH | 136 |
| | 1. General Description of Sher basin | 136 |
| | 2. Data availability | 137 |
| | 3. Data processing | 139 |
| | 4. Model setup | 140 |
| | 5. Calibration of SHE | 141 |
| | 6. Validation of model | 142 |
| | 7. Sensitivity analysis | 142 |
| | 8. Conclusions and limitations | 144 |